Chloride Secretion by Canine Tracheal Epithelium: II. The Cellular Electrical Potential Profile

Michael J. Welsh*, Philip **L. Smith**, and Raymond A. Frizzell***** Department of Physiology, University of Texas Medical School at Houston, Houston, Texas 77025

Summary. We used intracellular microelectrode techniques to study the mechanisms responsible for CI secretion by canine tracheal epithelium. Tissues were treated with indomethacin $(10⁻⁶$ M, added to the mucosal solution) to reduce the baseline rate of C1 secretion and then stimulated by addition of epinephrine (10⁻⁶ M) or prostaglandin E₁ (10⁻⁶ M) to the submucosal solution.

Three conclusions emerged from our findings : First, secretagogues enhance the rate of transepithelial C1 transport primarily by increasing apical membrane Cl permeability, since: (i) stimulation of secretion produced parallel decreases in transepithelial resistance (R_i) and the membrane resistance ratio R_i R_b , where R_a and R_b refer to the resistances of the apical and basolateral membranes; (ii) there was an inverse relation between the short-circuit current and R_n/R_b ; (iii) secretagogues depolarized the electrical potential difference across the apical membrane (ψ_a) and produced an equivalent hyperpolarization of the transepithelial electrical potential difference (ψ_i) so that, in the steady-state, the basolateral membrane potential (ψ_b) was unchanged; and (iv) substitution of sulfate or gluconate for C1 in the bathing solutions prevented secretagogue-induced changes in R_t , R_a/R_b , ψ_a , and ψ_t .

Second, C1 entry into the cell across the basolateral membrane appears to be electrically-neutral since omission of C1 from the submucosal solution had no effect on ψ_b and did not decrease R_a/R_b as would be expected if Cl entered the cell by a conductive process.

Third, secretagogues decrease R_b . Approximately 20 sec after the onset of the secretory response R_a/R_b underwent a secondary increase while R_t continued to fall. The decrease in R_b may reflect an increase in basolateral membrane K permeability.

Key Words tracheal epithelium microelectrodes membrane potentials membrane resistances - chloride secretion secretagogues

Introduction

Active chloride transport from submucosa to mucosa underlies the capacity of canine tracheal epithelium for salt and water secretion (Olver, Davis, Marin & Nadel, 1975; Welsh, Widdicombe & Nadel, 1980). Chloride secretion by tracheal epithelium is an electrogenic transport process (Olver et al., 1975) that is inhibited by addition of ouabain (A1-Bazzaz & A1-Awqati, 1979; Widdicombe, Ueki, Bruderman & Nadel, 1979b) or furosemide (Davis et al., 1977) to the submucosal solution or removal of Na from the submucosal solution (A1-Bazzaz & A1-Awqati, 1979; Widdicombe et al., *1979b).* The rate of active C1 secretion is regulated by intracellular cAMP and/or calcium levels (Smith, Welsh & Frizzell, 1982).

Our present understanding of the secretory process in canine tracheal epithelium is based on studies of transepithelial ion fluxes under shortcircuit conditions. However, to further understand the mechanisms responsible for C1 secretion by this or other secretory epithelia, it is necessary to examine the transport properties of the individual cell membranes. In this study, we used intracellular microelectrode techniques to determine the electrical potential profile of the secretory cells and the relative resistances of their limiting membranes. We examined the effects of agents that inhibit or stimulate C1 secretion and performed ion-replacement studies to evaluate the ionic basis of their actions.

Materials and Methods

Trachea were obtained from mongrel dogs (20-40 kg) that were anesthetized with Nembutal (25 mg/kg intravenously). After removing the posterior muscular layer, the posterior membraneous portion of the trachea was mounted horizontally, mucosal surface up, as a flat sheet between two halves of a small Lucite

^{}Present address:* Laboratory of Epithelial Transport and Kidney Physiology, Department of Internal Medicine, University of Iowa Hospitals, Iowa City, Iowa 52242.

*^{**}Present address:* Department of Physiology, University of Kansas Medical Center, College of Health Sciences and Hospital, Rainbow Blvd. at 39th, Kansas City, Kansas 66103.

*^{***}Present address.* Department of Physiology and Biophysics, University of Alabama in Birmingham, University Station, Birmingham, Alabama 35294.

Fig. 1. Micropuncture chamber, a , Ag-AgCl electrodes implanted in chamber for passing current; e, glass microelectrode; i , inlets for perfusing solutions provided by gravity feed from reservoirs (not shown); m, nylon mesh; o , outlets for solutions; p , pins for holding tissue during mounting; t , tissue. Chamber was also waterjacketed (not shown)

chamber having an aperture of 0.125 cm^2 (Fig. 1). The submucosal surface of the tissue was supported by nylon mesh. The mucosal and submucosal compartments of the chamber contained approximately 0.03 ml of bathing media and were continuously perfused by gravity from reservoirs above the chamber at a rate of 1 to 1.5 ml·min⁻¹. Both the chamber and the perfusion reservoirs were water-jacketed to assure that the perfusate was maintained at 37 $^{\circ}$ C.

The perfusing solution contained (in mM): Na, 143.0; K, 5.4; Ca, 1.2; Mg, 1.2; Cl, 123.7; HCO₃, 25; HPO₄, 2.4; H_2PO_4 , 0.6; and glucose, 10. The pH was 7.4 during bubbling with 95% O_2 -5% CO_2 at 37 °C. Chloride-free solutions were prepared by substituting SO_4 or gluconate for Cl. For the SO_4 substitution, osmolarity was maintained by addition of mannitol. The drugs used were prostaglandin E_1 (Sigma), indomethacin (Sigma), and epinephrine (Parke-Davis).

Electrical Measurements

The transepithelial electrical potential difference (ψ) was monitored by an automatic voltage-current clamp apparatus (University of Iowa, Bioengineering) via two calomel half-cells that were connected to the bathing solutions by saturated KCl-agar bridges. The mucosal solution was used as reference for all electrical measurements. Transepithelial resistance (R_t) was calculated from the change in ψ_t produced by bipolar, square-wave pulses of constant current (amplitude $40 \mu A \cdot cm^{-2}$; duration 1 or 2 sec; period 10 sec) which were delivered by a pulse generator built into the voltage-current clamp. Experiments were performed with the tissues at their spontaneous ψ , but occasionally ψ_t was clamped to zero to record the short-circuit current $(L_{\rm c})$. Current was passed across the tissue via Ag-AgCl rings implanted symmetrically in the chamber.

The electrical potential difference across the apical cell membrane (ψ_a) was measured with a high input impedance electrometer (F23-B, W.P. Instruments, Inc., New Haven, CN) and referenced to the mucosal solution through a differential electrometer (750-B, W.P. Instruments, Inc.). Values of ψ_a , ψ_t , and the transepithelial current were recorded by a three-channel strip chart recorder (Gould Inc., Cleveland, OH). The electrical potential difference across the basolateral cell membrane (ψ_b) was calculated from $\psi_t - \psi_a$. The ratio of the changes in ψ_a and ψ_t that result from current pulsing $(A \psi_a / A \psi_t)$ yields the fractional resistance of the apical membrane (f_R) :

$$
\frac{\Delta \psi_a}{\Delta \psi_t} = f_R = \frac{R_a}{R_a + R_b} \tag{1}
$$

where R_n and R_b are the resistances of the apical and basolateral membranes, respectively. The membrane resistance ratio (R_n) R_b) was calculated from f_R using the relation given above.

Microelectrodes were prepared from 1.2 mm OD fiber-filled borosilicate glass tubing (W.P. Instruments, Inc.), pulled on a horizontal micropipette puller (Model P-77, Sutter Instrument Co., San Francisco, CA). The micropipettes had shank lengths of 6.5-8 ram, and, by scanning electron microscopy, tip diameters of $0.05-0.09$ µm. They were backfilled with 0.5 M KCl immediately before use and had resistances of 90-120 M Ω when the tips were immersed in 0.5 M KCI (Fromm & Schultz, 1981). Microelectrodes were mounted vertically above the tissue on a sliding micromanipulator (aus Jena, East Germany) and their tips were advanced into the epithelium, from the mucosal solution, with a hydraulic microdrive (Model MO-8, Narishige, Japan).

Our criteria for a successful impalement were: (i) an abrupt, negative deflection of the electrical potential sensed by the microelectrode tip, (ii) a stable plateau value of the electrical potential difference whose magnitude was at least as large as the deflection obtained on impalement of the tissue (rise time of the recorder greater than 10mV/msec); (iii) a stable $(\pm 2 \text{ mV})$ plateau value of ψ_a lasting at least 30 sec; (iv) an abrupt return to the baseline (pre-impalement) value ($+2$ mV) upon withdrawal of the electrode from the cell. For some of the studies reported here it was necessary to maintain cellular impalements for several minutes. There was no difference between the values of ψ_a or f_R obtained from impalements of long duration $(> 5 \text{ min})$ and those of 30–60 sec duration. When the microelectrode was advanced several μ m beyond the epithelial cell layer, the recorded electrical potential difference was equipotential to the value of ψ_t and the voltage deflections due to current pulsing were also equal to those obtained from the macroelectrodes present in the bathing media. This suggests that the electrical resistance of the submucosal collagen layer is negligible.

Data is presented as the mean and SEM. Statistical significance was evaluated using a paired or unpaired t -test, as indicated. A value of $p < 0.05$ was considered statistically significant.

Results

Electrical Potential Profile

Upon advancing the microelectrode to the surface of the tissue, we usually observed transient deflections in the recorded potential difference. These may result from contact of the microelectrode tip with the cell surface or with the cilia that protrude from the apical surface of these cells. A step advance of the microelectrode another $2-15 \mu m$ yielded cellular impalement. In general, these had one of the three forms, as illustrated by the representative recordings of Fig. 2. In the first type, shown in Fig. $2a$, there was an abrupt negative deflection, followed by a transient decrease in potential difference that returned to a stable value similar in magnitude to the initial, rapid deflection. The secondary increase in potential was interpreted as a sealing of the apical membrane around the microelectrode tip following impalemant since it

Fig. 2. Representative cellular impalements. *See* text for details

Fig. 3. Histogram of values of ψ_a . 67 cellular impalements were obtained in 12 tissues during baseline conditions (no agents added to the solutions)

was accompanied by an apparent increase in the relative resistance of the apical membrane, f_R . The second pattern, shown in Fig. $2b$, is similar to the first; however, it lacks the transient decrease in voltage that followed the initial negative deflection. This type of impalement was also characterized by parallel increases in ψ_a and $\Delta \psi_a/\Delta \psi_t$, which may represent a sealing phenomenon. Figure $2c$ shows a third pattern which was encountered less frequently than the others. In this pattern the potential difference and f_R reached plateau values almost immediately. There was no systematic difference in the values of ψ_a and f_R obtained from these three different patterns of cellular impalement.

Figure 3 is a histogram of the values of ψ_a obtained from 67 cellular impalements acquired from 12 tissues under control conditions. The values of ψ appear to be distributed normally about a mean of -38 mV. The variance associated with repetitive sampling of ψ_a values in individual tissues is less than that encountered between different tissues. This can be attributed to spontaneous variation in transport rate from tissue-to-tissue since, as will be shown below, ψ_a varies with the rate of C1 secretion.

Table 1 provides values of the transmembrane electrical potential differences, transepithelial resistance, and the fractional resistance of the apical membrane under baseline conditions (no drugs added), during inhibition of C1 secretion with indomethacin (10^{-6} M) and following stimulation of Cl secretion with epinephrine $(10^{-\delta}$ M) in six tissues.¹ All values were recorded during periods when ψ_t , $I_{\rm sc}$ and R_t were time-independent, i.e., under steadystate conditions. Addition of indomethacin to the mucosal solution decreased ψ_t and I_{sc} and increased R_t as previously reported (Al-Bazzaz, Yadava & Westenfelder, 1981; Smith etal., 1982). These changes in transepithelial electrical properties were accompanied by a hyperpolarization of ψ_a and an increase in f_R so that the membrane resistance ratio (R_a/R_b) increased from 1.6 \pm 0.4 to 3.0 \pm 0.7. The finding that R_r and R_{a}/R_{b} increased in parallel strongly suggests that the resistance of the apical membrane increased following inhibition of C1 secretion by indomethacin.

The use of concomitant changes in R and $R_{\alpha}/R_{\rm b}$ to localize resistance changes to the apical and/or basolateral membranes requires that secretagogueinduced changes in paracellular pathway resistance, R_p , are minimal. This proposal is supported by two observations: first, agents that stimulate or inhibit C1 secretion by canine tracheal epithelium have no effect on unidirectional mannitol fluxes across this tissue (A1-Bazzaz et al., *1981;* Welsh & Widdicombe, 1980). Since transepithelial movement of mannitol appears to be restricted to the paracellular pathway, these findings suggest that R_p is not markedly altered by agents affecting C1 secretion rate. Second, if the observed decrease in R_t were produced largely by a decrease in R_p , secretagogues would decrease ψ_t ; the opposite is observed.

Table 1 also gives the values of ψ_a , ψ_b , and f_R during the steady-state following stimulation of C1 secretion with epinephrine (10^{-6} M) , added to the submucosal solution of indomethacin-treated tissues. As has been observed previously (A1- Bazzaz & Cheng, 1979), epinephrine increased ψ_t and $I_{\rm sc}$ and decreased R_t . These changes were accompanied by depolarization of ψ_a and decrease in f_R so that R_a/R_b decreased from 3.3 \pm 0.7 to 0.5 ± 0.1 . The parallel decreases in R_t and R_a/R_b indicate that stimulation of secretion decreased the resistance of the apical membrane.

Table 1 provides not only the mean values for each group of tissues, but also the mean values obtained in individual tissues. The data is presented in detail to illustrate the consistency of measurements made within individual tissues and the variation of electrical properties with C1 secretion rate among tissues.

Tissue	(n)	ψ_t (mV)	$I_{\rm sc}$ $(\mu A \cdot cm^{-2})$	$R_{\rm r}$ $(\Omega \cdot \text{cm}^2)$	ψ_a \overline{mV}	ψ_b (mV)	f_R
				Baseline			
A $\, {\bf B}$ $\mathbf C$ $\mathbf D$ $\mathbf E$ $\overline{\mathrm{F}}$ $\bar{X}\pm \text{SEM}$	(6) (7) (7) (6) (6) (6)	15.3 ± 0.7 12.5 ± 0.5 $11.1 + 0.5$ $21.0 + 0.7$ $14.2 + 0.1$ 14.9 ± 0.7 $14.8 + 1.4$	$110 + 6.9$ $109 + 2.4$ $63 + 6$ $207 + 2$ $105 + 1$ $59 + 6$ $109 + 22$	$126 + 3$ $104 + 2$ $167 + 1$ $95 + 2$ $110 + 2$ $227 + 7$ $138 + 21$	$-27.8 + 1.9$ -42.7 ± 1.2 $-36.4 + 3.2$ -28.8 ± 2.9 $-46.7 + 0.9$ -30.0 ± 3.3 $-35.4 + 3.2$	$43 + 1.9$ $55.2 + 1.4$ 47.4 ± 2.9 $49.8 + 3.4$ $60.8 + 1.0$ $44.9 + 3.3$ $50.2 + 2.7$	0.46 ± 0.05 $0.61 + 0.17$ $0.58 + 0.05$ 0.32 ± 0.07 $0.71 + 0.03$ 0.73 ± 0.06 0.57 ± 0.06
				Indomethacin $(10^{-6}$ M)			
A B C $\mathbf D$ $\mathbf E$ $\mathbf F$	(6) (6) (10) (6) (7) (7)	$7.3 + 0.4$ $10.7 + 0.3$ $7.5 + 0.4$ $13.5 + 0.8$ $10.0 + 0.7$ $8.7 + 0.6$	46 ± 3 $70 + 6$ $39 + 2.7$ $112 + 8$ $67 + 3$ $30 + 1.4$	149 ± 1.5 $150 + 6$ $183 + 2.5$ $115 + 1$ 128 ± 1 $254 + 5$	$-41.9 + 1.4$ $-38.8 + 2.7$ $-48.0 + 3.5$ $-36.2 + 2.5$ $-49.9+1.6$ $-38.1 + 2.7$	$49.2 + 1.4$ $49.6 + 2.9$ 55.6 ± 3.4 $49.6 + 2.6$ $59.8 + 1.9$ $46.0 + 2.1$	$0.64 + 0.04$ $0.72 + 0.06$ $0.85 + 0.03$ 0.44 ± 0.06 $0.82 + 0.02$ $0.71 + 0.05$
\bar{X} + SEM		$9.6 + 1.0$	$61 + 12$	$163 + 20$	-42.2 ± 2.3	$51.6 + 2.1$	$0.70 + 0.06$
				Epinephrine $(10^{-6}$ M)			
A $\, {\bf B}$ $\mathbf C$ $\mathbf D$ ${\bf E}$ $\mathbf F$	(7) (3) (3) (5) (8) (5)	$28.9 + 0.3$ 19.1 ± 0.3 $17.0 + 0.5$ 29.1 ± 0.4 $16.4 + 0.6$ $24.8 + 0.2$	$253 + 6$ $130 + 2$ $102 + 1$ 261 ± 10 $124 + 2$ $132 + 1$	$86 + 3$ $121 + 4$ $141 + 7$ $96 + 2$ $104 + 2$ 143 ± 2	$-29.2 + 2.3$ $-34.3 + 2.5$ -26.3 ± 1.9 $-27.2 + 1.5$ $-39.2+1.9$ $-21.9 + 2.9$	$58.1 + 2.6$ $53.4 + 1.7$ 43.3 ± 2.1 $56.3 + 1.6$ 55.6 ± 1.9 46.7 ± 2.7	$0.15 + 0.01$ $0.44 + 0.03$ $0.33 + 0.04$ $0.28 + 0.07$ $0.62 + 0.04$ $0.46 + 0.09$
$\bar{X} \pm$ SEM		22.6 ± 2.4	$167 + 29$	$115 + 10$	$-29.7 + 2.5$	$52.2 + 2.4$	$0.38 + 0.07$

Table 1. Electrical profile of the epithelium during baseline, indomethacin treated, and stimulated conditions

 n represents the number of impalements in each tissue. Values represent the mean \pm SEM. All measurements were made during steady-state conditions.

Condition	w \mathbf{r} \sim .	sc $\overline{}$ \overline{a} $. \n0$!uA VIII	$2 \cdot$ cm ^{\sim} عدا	$^{\prime\prime}$ MA 'm V	ψ_{b} - 7 (m'	JR.

Table 2. Effect of prostaglandin E_1 on the electrical profile

Indomethacin $(10^{-6}$ M) was present during both periods. All values represent the mean and SEM for five tissues. All measurements were made during steady-state conditions.

Table 2 shows the steady-state values of the electrical properties of tissues during the control period (indomethacin-treated) and following stimulation with PGE₁. The changes in ψ_t , R_r and I_{sc} elicited by PGE_1 are quantitatively similar to those observed with epinephrine (Table 1); the depolarization of ψ_a and the decrease in f_R are also similar to those shown in Table 1. Despite these similarities in the responses to epinephrine and prostaglandin, they differ in the extent to which their effects are reversible. The stimulation produced by PGE_1 was reversed entirely 10 to 20 min following its removal whereas that elicited by epinephrine was usually slowly $(1-2 \text{ hr})$ and incompletely reversible.

Results for the individual tissues shown in Table 1, as well as the mean values in Tables 1 and 2, indicate that inhibition or stimulation of C1 transport does not alter ψ_b in the steady-state. This suggested an inverse relationship between ψ_a and ψ_t , and thus an inverse relationship between ψ_a and the C1 secretion rate. In Fig. 4 the average values of ψ_t and ψ_a under control and stimulated conditions are plotted for the 11 tissues that provided the data shown in Tables 1 and 2. For each

Fig. 4 (left). Relationship between ψ_a and ψ_t in 11 tissues. Open circles represent steady-state, control conditions (indomethacin 10^{-6} M present in the mucosal solution); closed circles represent steady-state, stimulated conditions with epinephrine (10^{-6} M) or prostaglandin E₁ (10⁻⁶ M) added to submucosal solution

Fig. 5 (right). Relationship between ψ_a and ψ_t . Circles represent steady-state values during all experimental conditions (baseline, indomethacin treatment, and stimulation), $r=0.75$. Line was arbitrarily drawn to have slope of one and indentical x and y intercepts. The regression analysis yielded a relation that does not differ significantly from that drawn here

tissue, we found an inverse relationship between ψ_t and ψ_a ; that is, as ψ_a depolarizes, ψ_t hyperpolarizes. In Fig. 5, average values of ψ and ψ are given for all tissues studied under baseline, indometha $cin-treated$, or stimulated (epinephrine or PGE_1) conditions. Although there is some scatter, the data is adequately described by a straight line with slope of -1 and identical x and y axis intercepts. This relationship confirms the stability of ψ_b despite changes in the steady-state rate of C1 secretion.

Figure 6 shows the relationship between the average steady-state values of f_R and I_{sc} for all tissues under all experimental conditions examined (baseline, indomethacin-treated, and stimulated). There is an inverse correlation between the rate of C1 secretion (reflected by the $I_{\rm sc}$) and $f_{\rm R}$. Thus, an increase in C1 secretion rate is closely correlated with a decrease in apical membrane resistance. In addition, this finding suggests that spontaneous variations in the rate of Cl secretion and f_R , which are most readily observed under baseline conditions (filled circles of Fig. 6 and Table 1), are causally related and are due to variations in the secretory state of the tissue rather than variable damage to the apical cell membrane produced by microelectrode impalement.

Cl Replacement Studies

To determine the contribution of C1 to the changes in ψ_a and f_R that accompany stimulation of secretion, we examined the effects of replacing C1 in one or both bathing media with sulfate (three tissues) or gluconate (one tissue). The results ob-

Fig. 6. Relationship between fractional resistance of the apical membrane $({f_n})$ and I_{cc} , $r=0.84$

tained with gluconate and sulfate replacement were indistinguishable and were therefore combined. Replacement of CI in the mucosal, submucosal, or both solutions was performed in random order and five cellular impalements were obtained for each experimental condition. No correction has been made for liquid junction potentials during unilateral C1 replacement. These are small, due to use of saturated KC1 electrodes for determination of ψ_t , and would not affect measured values of R_t or f_R .

Condition	[Cl](mm) $m - s$	ψ_t (mV)	$I_{\rm sc}$ $(\mu A \cdot \text{cm}^{-2})$	$R_{\rm c}$ $(\Omega \cdot \text{cm}^2)$	ψ_a (mV)	ψ_h (mV)	
Control	124-124	$20.1 + 6.6$	$69 + 23$	$288 + 27$	$-37.2 + 6.7$	$57.3 + 4.6$	$0.71 + 0.11$
PGE ₁	124-124	$31.3 + 5.5$	$157 + 29$	$159 + 12$	$-27.6 + 2.2$	$58.9 + 4.7$	$0.43 + 0.06$
Control	$0 - 0$	$17.5 + 5.0$	$41 + 19$	$403 + 50$	$-42.3 + 3.4$	$59.8 + 1.7$	$0.88 + 0.04$
PGE_1	$0 - 0$	$23.7 + 5.2$	$65 + 11$	$347 + 43$	$-38.7 + 4.2$	$62.4 + 2.9$	$0.84 + 0.05$
PGE.	124–0	$7.9 + 3.6$	$\overline{}$	$228 + 24$	$-51.9 + 4.8$	$64.8 + 5.4$	$0.60 + 0.06$
PGE ₁	$0 - 124$	$52.0 + 11.5$	-	$231 + 13$	$-7.7 + 7.3$	$59.7 + 5.9$	$0.48 + 0.09$

Table 3. The effect of C1 substitutions on the electrical profile

Indomethacin (10⁻⁶ M) was present in the mucosal solution during all conditions. [Cl] represents the choride concentration in the perfusing solutions; m and s represent the mucosal and submucosal solutions, respectively. PGE₁ indicates that prostaglandin E₁ $(10^{-6}$ M) is present in the submucosal solution. All measurements were made during steady-state conditions. Values represent the mean \pm SEM for four tissues. Measurements were obtained under each of these conditions in each tissue.

Table 3 shows the results of these studies, in which PGE_1 served as the secretory stimulus. Values obtained in the presence of C1 are in good agreement with those given in Tables I and 2. In unstimulated (indomethacin-treated) tissues bilateral C1 replacement increased R_t , but had only minimal effects on I_{sc} , ψ_t , ψ_a and f_R \cdot^2 Bilateral exposure to Cl-free media abolished the effects of PGE₁ on ψ_t , ψ_a and f_R . The small changes in I_{sc} and R which followed addition of $PGE₁$ to Cl-free media may result from the presence of a small amount of residual C1 in the submucosal connective tissue layer; however, the response to PGE_1 is, for all intents and purposes, abolished in the absence of C1. Of particular interest is the failure of PGE₁ to reduce f_R in the absence of Cl. This indicates that the decrease in apical membrane resistance that normally accompanies stimulation of secretion is specific for C1 and results from the development of an electrically-conductive pathway for C1 movement across the apical cell membrane. This pathway is impermeable to sulfate and gluconate.

The last two rows of Table 3 provide results obtained during replacement of C1 in either the mucosal or sumucosal solution. During unilateral C1 replacement, R_i is less than the value observed in the complete absence of C1 but is greater than the value obtained when C1 Ringer bathes both surfaces of the tissue. While this undoubtedly reflects the contribution of C1 to the conductance of the paracellular pathway, the presence of a

transcellular Cl conductance in PGE_1 -stimulated tissues is apparent from the corresponding values of f_R which also lie midway between those observed in the presence and absence of C1. The parallel changes in f_R and R_t during C1 replacement in one or both bathing media suggest that part of the change in *, is due to a change in the conductance* of the apical membrane. The presence of C1 on the mucosal surface alone would decrease R_a by increasing the ionic content of a Cl-selective pathway traversing the apical membrane, and thus decrease $f_{\rm R}$. Similarly, the presence of C1 in the submucosal solution alone would also decrease R_{a} and f_R below the value observed during bilateral C1 replacement since submucosal solution C1 has access to the cell interior via the process(es) responsible for net C1 transport across the basolateral membrane.

The changes in ψ_a of PGE₁-treated tissues during unilateral C1 replacement are consistent with the generation of diffusion potentials across a C1 selective apical membrane. However, these changes in ψ_a are complicated by corresponding changes in ψ_t that arise from diffusion potentials across the paracellular pathway, which has been shown to possess a relatively high C1 permeability (Welsh & Widdicombe, 1980).

Finally, the values of ψ_b were not significantly affected by the presence or absence of PGE_1 or by unilateral or bilateral C1 replacement. The stability of ψ_b in the face of dramatic changes in Cl secretion rate and despite marked alterations in bathing solution C1 concentrations will be discussed below.

The Acute Electrical Response to Stimulation

In five tissues we were able to maintain individual cellular impalements for at least 3 min before and 3 min following the onset of the response to epi-

² The slight increase in f_R of unstimulated tissues upon replacement of C1 with nontransported anions suggests that a small Cl-dependent conductance may be present in the apical membrane, despite pretreatment with indomethacin. This finding is consistent with the observations of A1-Bazzaz et al. (1981), that a low rate of C1 secretion persists even in the presence of indomethacin. However, the magnitude of this residual C1 secretion appears to depend on the duration of exposure to indomethacin (Smith et al., 1982).

Fig. 7. Representative recording of the acute electrical response to epinephrine. The onset of the electrical response to the addition of epinephrine (10^{-6} M) to the submucosal solution is indicated by the arrow. The period when $\psi_t = 0$ represents short circuiting of the tissue

nephrine. Figure 7 is an experimental record illustrating the time courses of ψ_t and ψ_a in one tissue (tissue A of Table 1). All tissues were pretreated with indomethacin, so that during the initial phase of this record, ψ_t is low (7 mV). Epinephrine (10^{-6} M) was added to the submucosal solution at the time indicated by the arrow and caused ψ_t to increase to a new steady-state value of 28 mV . ψ_a was initially -42 mV, and the addition of epinephrine depolarized ψ_a to a new steady-state value of -30 mV. However, the acute depolarization of ψ_a is greater than the value reached during steadystate stimulation, and the deflections in ψ_a induced by current pulsing $(\Delta \psi_a)$ are also smaller during this transient than they are 2 min after the onset of the secretory response.

This pattern was characteristic of all five tissues studied in this manner, and the time courses of ψ_t , R_t , f_R , ψ_a and ψ_b are presented in Fig. 8 as the mean \pm SEM (shaded areas). Zero time represents the onset of the epinephrine response. The major points to be noted from this figure are: (i) all electrical properties were stable for 3 min before the addition of epinephrine, i.e., the indomethacintreated tissues were in a steady-state; (ii) following addition of epinephrine, ψ_t increased and R_r decreased to new steady-state values by 2.5 min. This was accompanied by an increase in $I_{\rm sc}$ (determined by briefly clamping the ψ_t to zero) from $69 \pm 18 \,\mu A \cdot cm^{-2}$ to $238 \pm 53 \,\mu A \cdot cm^{-2}$; (iii) f_R was decreased in a biphasic manner so that the control value of R_{α}/R_{α} (3.31) decreased to its lowest value (0.25) twenty seconds following the onset of the epinephrine response and subsequently increased to a steady-state value of 0.58. At 20 sec, when

Fig. 8. Acute electrical response to stimulation with epinephrine. Data represents mean \pm s EM (shaded area). The onset of the response to the addition of epinephrine $(10^{-6} M)$ to the submucosal solution is indicated by time zero. R_t and \hat{k} were measured at times indicated by dots. ψ_t , ψ_a , and ψ_b were measured continuously

 f_R reached its lowest value, R_t had decreased by only 42% of the entire decrease observed in the new steady-state; (iv) both ψ_a and ψ_b were depolarized in a biphasic manner and the maximal depolarization of ψ_a (24 mV) and ψ_b (19 mV) coincided with the minimum in f_R ; finally, (v) during the period from 20 to 120 sec, ψ_b repolarized completely and ψ_a repolarized by 9 mV. The steady-state values of ψ_a , ψ_b and f_R determined before and after stimulation by epinephrine, and illustrated in Fig. 8, are not different from the average values obtained from multiple cellular impalements before and after stimulation, given in Table 1. That is, there is no reason to suspect that the acute, transient alterations in f_R , ψ_a , and ψ_b displayed in Fig. 9 represent properties unique to those cellular impalements that lasted 6 min or more.

The acute response to epinephrine provides additional insight into the changes in individual apical and basolateral membrane properties that are associated with stimulation of secretion. As discussed above, stimulation of C1 secretion produces a decrease in R_1 , that appears to be restricted to the cellular pathway. The time courses of R_t and f_R indicate that the initial decrease in R_t seen during

Fig. 9. Representative recording of the acute electrical response to prostaglandin E₁. Prostaglandin E₁ (10⁶ M) was added to the submucosal solution at the time indicated by the arrow

the first 20 sec is predominantly, if not entirely, due to a rapid decrease in apical membrane resistance, as was evident from examination of the steady-state values (Tables 1 and 2). However, after 20 sec, R_t continues to decrease as f_R increases, so that much of the reduction in R , during the period from 20-120 sec is due to a secondary reduction in basolateral membrane resistance, R_b . In addition, the steady-state data in Tables 1 and 2 demonstrate that depolarization of ψ_a accounted entirely for the hyperpolarization of ψ_t , so that ψ_b was unaffected. However, the data illustrated in Fig. 8 indicate that the initial depolarization of ψ_a is accompanied by a concomitant depolarization of ψ_b . This is most likely the result of passive electrical coupling of ψ_b to the primary change in ψ_a since the depolarization of ψ_a exceeds that of ψ_b and these events occur during the period (first 20 sec) when apical membrane resistance is decreasing. However, after 20 sec, ψ_b repolarizes to its prestimulation value. During this time, ψ_a partially repolarizes, and this is probably also due to passive electrical coupling; in this case the primary event appears to be localized to the basolateral membrane since the change in ψ_b is greater than the change in ψ_a and since R_b is decreasing during this period.

The steady-state response to stimulation with $PGE₁$ is similar to that observed with epinephrine (Tables I and 2); however, the acute electrical response to PGE_1 is quantitatively different. The time courses of ψ_t and ψ_a are illustrated in Fig. 9

before and during stimulation with prostaglandin E₁ (10⁻⁶ M). The changes in ψ_t and ψ_a are less rapid than with epinephrine, and the biphasic response of ψ_a and $\overline{\mathcal{A}} \psi_a$ is not observed during stimulation by PGE_1 . However, analysis of this data indicates that the decreases in f_R and R_t accompanying stimulation are still dissociated in time. That is, f_R approaches its steady-state value at a time when R_t is still declining. Similarly, the depolarization of ψ_a is more rapid than the hyperpolarization of ψ_t so that ψ_b is transiently depolarized as with epinephrine. Thus, the acute response to $PGE₁$ is qualitatively similar to that observed with epinephrine but shows a slower onset. This is presumably due to differences in the rapidity with which these agents raise cellular cAMP levels rather than differences in the rate at which they penetrate the submucosal connective tissue layer. Prostaglandin $F_{2\alpha}$ (PGF_{2 α}) also reversibly stimulates electrogenic C1 secretion (A1-Bazzaz et al., 1981). Addition of $PGF_{2\alpha}$ to the submucosal bathing solution resulted in steady-state changes in the electrical potential differences and membrane resistance ratio similar to those obtained with epinephrine and $PGE₁$ and an acute, biphasic response to stimulation similar to that seen with addition of epinephrine.

Discussion

In this section, we will review a model for C1 secretion which appears to be generally applicable to Cl-secreting epithelia and discuss the results of prior studies suggesting that this model is appropriate for canine tracheal epithelium. Our electrophysiologic findings will then be discussed within the framework of this model.

A Model of Cl Secretion

A model for electrolyte transport by canine tracheal epithelium, which is similar to the model reviewed by Frizzell, Field and Schultz (1979a) for Cl-secreting epithelia, is illustrated in Fig. 10. According to this model, C1 enters the cell via an electrically neutral, NaC1 co-transport process at the basolateral membrane. The driving force for CI entry is the sum of chemical potential differences for Na and C1 across the basolateral membrane. This coupled entry step results in thermodynamic accumulation of C1 within the cell at an activity greater than that predicted for electrochemical equilibrium. Accordingly, C1 exit from the cell across the apical membrane occurs in the direction of a favorable electrochemical potential difference. The Na that enters the cell coupled to CI is recycled across the basolateral membrane via

Fig. 10. A model for chloride secretion by the canine tracheal epithelium. *(See* text for details)

the Na-K-pump. All of the K entering in exchange for Na exits across the basolateral membrane since there is no net K secretion across the epithelium (Gatzy & Boucher, 1979). Finally, a pathway for Na entry across the apical membrane is included in this model since short-circuited tracheal epithelium displays a small rate of active Na absorption. According to this model, net current flow across the epithelial cells during secretion will be carried by C1 exiting and Na entering across the apical membrane and by K and Na exiting across the basolateral membrane.

Prior observations which support the applicability of this model to canine tracheal epithelium are: (i) the epithelial cells contain a Na-K-ATPase (Westenfelder, Earnest & A1-Bazzaz, 1980) that has been localized to the basolateral membrane by autoradiographic localization of ³Houabain binding (Widdicombe, Basbaum & Yee, 1979a); (ii) addition of ouabain (10^{-4} M) to the submucosal bathing solution abolishes the I_{sc} , Cl secretion and Na absorption (A1-Bazzaz & A1- Awqati, 1979; Widdicombe et al., 1979b); (iii) replacement of Na with choline abolishes C1 secretion (A1-Bazzaz & A1-Awqati, 1979). This effect is due to removal of Na from the submucosal solution alone (Widdicombe et al., 1979 b), (iv) stimulation of C1 secretion decreases tissue resistance (A1-Bazzaz & A1-Awqati, 1979) with no apparent change in the permeability of the paracellular pathway (Welsh & Widdicombe, 1980); this decrease in R , could result from an increase in Cl conductance of the apical membrane; and (v) addition of furosemide (10^{-3} M) to the submucosal solution inhibits C1 secretion (Davis et al., 1977). It appears that loop diuretics interfere with NaC1 co-transport in other epithelia (Frizzell, Smith, Vosburgh & Field 1979*b*).

CI Transport across the Apical Membrane

In the companion paper (Smith et al., 1982) we show that epinephrine and $PGE₁$ increase intracellular cAMP concentration and stimulate C1 secretion. Indomethacin decreases intracellular cAMP, PGE₂ production, and Cl secretion rate. The results of the present study indicate that changes in cellular cAMP alter C1 secretion rate by influencing the permeability of the apical membrane to C1. This conclusion is supported by three observations: First, there is an inverse relation between the rate of C1 secretion and the fractional resistance of the apical membrane, f_R . Second, replacement of Cl with SO_4 or gluconate abolishes the decrease in $R_{\rm r}$ produced by secretagogues. Third, unilateral replacement of C1 with nontransported anions alters R_a/R_b and ψ_a of secretagogue-treated tissues in the manner excepted for a conductive C1 transport pathway across the apical membrane.

Our findings are similar to those of Klyce and Wong (1977) who used microelectrode techniques to study C1 secretion by rabbit corneal epithelium. They found that brief exposure to epinephrine produced a transient decrease in the resistance of the outer membrane of the squamous cell layer and that substitution of Cl with SO_4 blocked this response. They also noted that the resistance decrease produced by epinephrine was present, but reduced in magnitude, when C1 was omitted from one bathing solution alone. Nagle and Reinach (1980) made similar observations using intracellular microelectrodes in frog corneal epithelium.

If the electrochemical potential difference for C1 across the apical membrane is oriented from cell to mucosal solution, a secretagogue-induced increase in apical membrane C1 conductance would permit C1 to leave the cell in the secretory direction. Studies conducted with Cl-selective microelectrode techniques indicate that intracellular C1 activity exceeds the value predicted for electrochemical equilibrium in two other Cl-secreting epithelia: In the isolated, perfused, shark rectal gland (Welsh, Smith & Frizzell, 1981), we demonstrated that cell C1 is accumulated at an activity six times greater than that predicted for a passive distribution across the basolateral membrane and approximately twice the value for equilibrium across the apical membrane. Zadunaisky, Spring and Shindo (1979) found that in frog corneal epithelium the intracellular C1 activity is twice the value expected for a passive distribution at the apical membrane. Determinations of intracellular C1 concentration in isolated cells from the canine tracheal epithelium by chemical assay techniques yields a value of 50 mM (Widdicombe, Basbaum & Highland, 1981). Since isolated cells are short circuited, the value of ψ_a under short-circuit conditions with no drugs added ($\psi_a = -45$ mV, M.J. Welsh, P.L. Smith and R.A. Frizzell, *unpublished observation)* can be used to calculate a corresponding equilibrium cell C1 concentration of 23 mM, or half the value obtained by Widdicombe et al.

These considerations are also consistent with the observation that ψ_a is depolarized by secretagogues. If cell C1 concentration is approximately 50 mm, the equilibrium potential for CI across the apical membrane is roughly -24 mV. A marked increase in apical membrane C1 permeability would therefore shift ψ_a toward this value, as we have observed during stimulation of secretion (Tables 1 and 2, Figs. 7, 8 and 9).

Cl Transport across the Basolateral Membrane

Our results suggest that C1 enters the cell across the basolateral membrane via an electricallyneutral transport process. This conclusion is based on two observations. First, replacement of C1 in the submucosal bathing solution increased f_R . The presence of an electrically-conductive C1 transport pathway across the basolateral membrane would result in a decrease in f_R (due to an increase in R_b) when submucosal solution C1 is replaced by an impermeant, nontransported anion. Second, the C1 replacement studies also indicate that the chemical potential difference for C1 across the basolateral membrane plays little or no part in determining ψ_h . Therefore, no significant conductive pathway for C1 transport across the basolateral membrane can be detected.

The possibility that electrically-neutral C1 entry across the basolateral membrane results from C1- $HCO₃$ exchange is unlikely since neither removal of $HCO₃$ from the bathing solutions (Al-Bazzaz & A1-Awqati, 1979) nor addition of the substituted stilbene, SITS, to the submucosal solution (M.J. Welsh and J.H. Widdicombe, *personal observation)* inhibits C1 secretion. SITS has been observed to inhibit $CI-HCO₃$ exchange in both human erythrocytes (Cabantchik & Rothstein, 1974) and turtle urinary bladder (Cohen, Mueller & Steinmetz, 1978). It seems most likely that CI enters the cell coupled to the entry of Na, since removal of Na from the submucosal solution abolishes C1 secretion (A1-Bazzaz & A1-Awqati, 1979; Widdicombe et al., 1979b). In addition, using isolated, perfused, shark rectal gland (Welsh et al., 1981), we recently demonstrated that removal of Na from the perfusing solution decreased intracellular C1 activity toward the value predicted for electrochemical equilibrium. Taken together, these findings strongly suggest that C1 enters the cell across the basolateral membranes of this and other Cl-secreting epithelia via a NaC1 co-transport process.

Conductive Ion Flow across the Basolateral Membrane

Our findings indicate that the primary decrease in apical membrane resistance that accompanies stimulation of secretion is followed by a secondary decrease in basolateral membrane resistance. This conclusion emerges from examination of the acute electrical response of the tissue to epinephrine, shown in Figs. 7 and 8, where f_R undergoes a secondary rise as R_t continues to decline. Together with the decrease in basolateral membrane resistance, the electrical potential difference across this barrier, ψ_h , repolarizes toward the prestimulation level (Fig. 8). In the steady-state, ψ_b remains fairly constant despite wide variations in the rate of chloride secretion (Tables 1 and 2, Figs. 4 and 5).

The most likely explanation for the decrease in R_b and repolarization of ψ_b that reflect increased conductive ion flow across the basolateral membrane is an increase in conductance specific for one ion. For example, an increase in basolateral membrane K permeability would account for the decrease in R_b and cause ψ_b to approach the equilibrium potential for K across this barrier. Inasmuch as ψ_b repolarizes toward a value of 60 mV, cell interior negative, the ion whose specific conductance is increased must have an equilibrium potential in excess of this value. It seems likely that the only ion that satisfies this criterion is K. Indeed, the intracellular K concentration of 150 mM, recently reported by Widdicombe et al. (1981), would yield an equilibrium potential (E_{κ}) of 90 mV, cell interior negative. If the basolateral membrane is largely permeable to K under nonstimulated conditions, an increase in apical membrane Cl permeability will cause ψ_h to shift away from the equilibrium potential for K through electrical coupling between the limiting membranes. However, a subsequent increase in basolateral membrane K permeability will shift ψ_b back toward the K equilibrium potential. This situation is analogous to the repolarization of membrane potential observed in excitable cells, where the membrane is initially depolarized by an increase in Na permeability, but a secondary increase in potassium permeability is, in part, responsible for the repolarization of membrane potential. A sustained elevation of K permeability would, in fact, repolarize the membrane even if Na permeability (in our case chloride permeability) remained elevated.

Several lines of evidence suggest that the electrical conductance of the basolateral membrane is determined predominantly by a high permeability to K: First, we have shown that there is no apparent Cl-conductance pathway across this barrier (Table 3). Second, a sizeable basolateral membrane Na conductance seems unlikely since this would permit energetically inefficient recycling of Na across the basolateral membrane. Third, a high basolateral membrane K conductance is consistent with the observations of Stutts, Boucher and Gatzy (1980), who found that increasing the K concentration of the submucosal bathing solution to 100 mM decreased the rate of C1 secretion to zero. Presumably, this inhibition of C1 secretion results from K-induced depolarization of the basolateral membrane and, via electrical coupling, the apical membrane so that the driving force for C1 exit from cell to mucosal solution is abolished.

Nagel and Reinach (1980) observed that stimulation of chloride secretion across isolated frog cornea with epinephrine was associated with a decrease in R_b which they attributed to an increase in basolateral membrane chloride permeability. However, this conclusion was based on the permeabilities of the epithelium to Na and K derived from studies of transepithelial Na and K fluxes. The notion that the decrease in basolateral membrane resistance results from an increase in basolateral membrane K permeability is more appealing for the following reasons: a threefold increase in Na-K pump activity, which is likely to be associated with the transition from indomethacin to epinephrine-treated tissues, as shown in Table 1, would result in a threefold increase in the rate at which K is pumped from the submucosal solution into the cell. Since there is no evidence for active K secretion by the canine tracheal epithelium (Gatzy & Boucher, 1979), this increase in pump rate must be balanced, in the steady state, by an increase in the rate at which K diffuses from cell to submucosal solution. In the absence of a change in basolateral membrane K permeability, this requires that the driving force for K exit from cell to submucosal solution also increase by a factor of three. Calculations based on reasonable estimates for cell K activity, together with the known electrical potential difference across the basolateral membrane, indicate that this would involve an enormous increase in cell K activity (e.g., an increase of 200 mM above the normal value). It seems more reasonable that the K permeability of the basolateral membrane is regulated in parallel with changes in Na-K-pump activity, so that the requirement for increased diffusional outflow of K from the cell is met by a change in the resistance of the basolateral membrane to K flow rather than a marked change in cellular composition. As indicated above, this would account for the decrease in R_h and repolarization of ψ_h seen in Fig. 9.

Relation of these Findings to Na-Absorbing Epithelia

Finally, we would like to note two similarities in the cellular mechanisms of electrogenic ion transport by Cl-secreting and Na-absorbing epithelia. First, regulation of the rate of transepithelial ion transport in both types of epithelia appears to be mediated by an ion-specific apical membrane conductance pathway. In Na-absorbing epithelia, such as rabbit urinary bladder (Lewis, Eaton & Diamond, 1976), rabbit descending colon (Schultz, Frizzell & Nellans, 1977), and *Necturus* urinary bladder (Fromter & Gebler, 1977), there is a direct relationship between apical membrane Na-conductance and the rate of Na absorption. Likewise, in the tracheal epithelium and corneal epithelium (Klyce & Wong, 1977; Nagel & Reinach, 1980) stimulation of C1 secretion elicits a decrease in apical membrane resistance that is specific for C1.

Second, our results indicate that an increase in C1 secretion rate is accompanied by a decrease in basolateral membrane resistance. A similar inverse relation between the rate of transepithelial transport and basolateral membrane resistance has been observed in *Necturus* urinary bladder (Fromter & Gebler, 1977), toad urinary bladder (Davis & Finn, 1980), and *Necturus* small intestine (Gunter-Smith, Grasset & Schultz, 1982). Although the ionic basis of this change in R_b has not been established with certainty, it seems likely that changes in Na-K-pump activity in both Naabsorbing and Cl-secreting epithelia are associated with parallel changes in basolateral membrane K permeability, so that several-fold alterations in the rate of transepithelial transport do not lead to marked alterations in cell composition.

This work was supported by research grants from the NIH: NIAMDD (AM 26702 and AM 27524) and Merck and Co. Dr. Welsh was supported by a National Pulmonary Faculty Training Award (HL 07159), Dr. Smith by a National Research Service Award (AM 05973), and Dr. Frizzell by a Research Career Development Award (AM 00173).

References

- A1-Bazzaz, F.J., A1-Awqati, Q. 1979, Interaction between sodium and chloride transport in canine tracheal mucosa. Y. *Appl. Physiol.: Respirat. Environ. Exercise Physiol.* 46:111-119
- A1-Bazzaz, F.J., Cheng, E. 1979. Effect of catecholamines on ion transport in dog tracheal epithelium. *J. AppI. PhysioI: Respirat. Environ. Exercise Physiol.* **47:**397-403
- Al-Bazzaz, F., Yadava, V.P., Westenfelder, C. 1981. Modification of Na and C1 transport in canine tracheal mucosa by prostaglandins. *Am. 9". Physiol. Renal Fluid Electrolyte Physiol.* 240:F101-F105
- Cabantchik, Z.I., Rothstein, A. 1974. Membrane proteins related to anion permeability of human red blood cells. I. Localization of disulfonic stilbene binding sites in proteins involved in permeation. *J. Membrane Biol.* 15:207-226
- Cohen, L.H., Mueller, A., Steinmetz, P.R. 1978. Inhibition of the bicarbonate exit step in urinary acidification by a disulfonic stilbene. *J. CIin. Invest.* 61:981-986
- Davis, B., Ueki, I., Bruderman, I., Marin, M., Nadel, J.A. 1977. Submucosal action of furosemide on chloride ion movement across canine tracheal epithelium. *Am. Rev. Respir. Dis.* 115:320
- Davis, C.W., Finn, A.L. 1980. Basolateral membrane resistance of toad urinary bladder is sensitive to sodium transport. *J. Gen. Physiol.* 76:20a
- Frizzell, R.A., Field, M., Schultz, S.G. 1979a. Sodium-coupled chloride transport by epithelial tissues. *Am. J. Physiol. Renal Fluid Electrolyte Physiol.* 5:F1-F8
- Frizzell, R.A., Smith, P.L., Vosburgh, E., Field, M. 1979b. Coupled sodium-chloride influx across brush border of flounder intestine. *J. Membrane Biol.* 46: 27-39
- Fromm, M., Schultz, S.G. 1981. Some properties of KCL filled microelectrodes. *J. Membrane Biol.* 62: 239-244
- Fromter, E., Gebler, B. 1977. Electrical properties of amphibian urinary bladder epithelia. *Pfluegers Arch.* 371:99-108
- Gatzy, J.T., Boucher, R.C. 1979. Amphotericin B and ion flow across canine trachea. *Physiologist* 22:43
- Gunter-Smith, P.J., Grasset, E., Schultz, S.G. 1982. Sodiumcoupled amino acid and sugar transport by *Necturus* small intestine. *J. Membrane Biol.* **66:**25-39
- Klyce, S.D., Wong, R.K.S. 1977. Site and mode of adrenaline action on chloride transport across the rabbit corneal epithelium. *J. Physiol. (London)* 266:777-799
- Lewis, S.A., Eaton, D.C., Diamond, J.M. 1976. The mechanism of Na + transport by rabbit urinary bladder. *J. Membrane Biol.* 28:41-70
- Nagel, W., Reinach, P. 1980. Mechanism of stimulation by epinephrine of active transepithelial C1 transport in isolated frog cornea. *J. Membrane Biol.* 56:73-79
- Olver, R.E., Davis, B., Marin, M.G., Nadel, J.A. 1975. Active transport of $Na⁺$ and $Cl⁻$ across the canine tracheal epithelium *in vitro. Am. Rev. Respir. Dis.* 112:811-815
- Schultz, S.G., Frizzell, R.A., Nellans, H.N. 1977. Active sodium transport and the electrophysiology of rabbit colon. J. *Membrane Biol.* 33:351-384
- Smith, P.L., Welsh, M,J., Frizzell, R.A. 1982. Chloride secretion by canine tracheal epithelium. I. Role of intracellular cAMP levels. *J. Membrane Biol.* 70:217-226
- Stutts, M.J., Boucher, R.C., Gatzy, J.T. 1980. Effects of KC1 on excised canine tracheal epithelium. *Physiologist* 23:62
- Welsh, M.J., Smith, P.L., Frizzell, R.A. 1981. Intracellular chloride activities in the isolated perfused shark rectal gland. *C/in. Res.* 29:480A
- Welsh, M.J., Widdicombe, J.H. 1980. Pathways of ion movement in the canine tracheal epithelium. *Am. J. Physiol. Renal Fluid Electrolyte Physiol. 239:F215-F221*
- Welsh, M.J., Widdicombe, J.H., Nadel, J.A. 1980. Fluid transport across the canine tracheal epithelium. *J. Appl. Physiol. Respirat. Environ. Exercise Physiol.* 49: 905-909
- Westenfelder, C., Earnest, W.R., A1-Bazzaz, F.J. 1980. Characterization of Na-K-ATPase in dog tracheal epithelium: Enzymatic and ion transport measurements. *J. Appl. Physiol. Respir at. Environ. Exercise Physiol.* 48:1008-1019
- Widdicombe, J.H., Basbaum, C.B., Highland, E. 1981. Ion contents and other properties of isolated cells from dog tracheal epithelium. Am. J. Physiol. **241:**C184-C192
- Widdicombe, J.H., Basbaum, C.B., Yee, J.Y. 1979a. Localization of Na pumps in the tracheal epithelium of the dog. *J. Cell Biol.* 82:380-390
- Widdicombe, J.H., Ueki, I.F., Bruderman, I., Nadel, J.A. 1979b. The effects of sodium substitution and ouabain on ion transport by dog tracheal epithelium. *Am. Rev. Respir. Dis.* 120:385-392
- Zadunaisky, J.A., Spring, K.R., Shindo, T. 1979. Intracellular chloride activity in the corneal epithelium. *Fed. Proc.* 38:1059

Received 6 January 1982; revised 28 May 1982